leads to Cdc48 recruitment for extraction and degradation of the incomplete translation product. Rqc2p, through specific binding to Ali(gCIC) and Thr(IGU) tRNAs, directs the template-free and 40S-free elongation of the incomplete translation product with CAT tails. CAT tails induce a heat shock response through a mechanism that is yet to be determined.

Hypermorphic mutations in the mammalian homolog of LTN1 cause neurodegeneration in mice (22). Similarly, mice with mutations in a central nervous system-specific isoform of tRNA<sup>Arg</sup> and GTTPBP2, a homolog of yeast Hbsl which works with PELOTA/Dom34 to dissociate stalled 80S ribosomes, suffer from neurodegeneration (22). These observations reveal the consequences that ribosome stalls impose on the cellular economy. Eubacteria rescue stalled ribosomes with the transfer-messenger RNA (tmRNA)-Smpr system, which appends nascent chains with a unique C-terminal tag that targets the incomplete protein product for proteolysis (23). The mechanisms used by eukaryotes, which lack tmRNA, to recognize and rescue stalled ribosomes and their incomplete translation products have been unclear. The RQC—and Rqc2p’s CAT tail tagging mechanism in particular—bear both similarities and contrasts to the tmRNA trans-translation system. The evolutionary convergence upon distinct mechanisms for extending incomplete nascent chains at the C terminus argues for their importance in maintaining proteostasis. One advantage of tagging stalled chains is that it may distinguish them from normal translation products and facilitate their removal from the protein pool. An alternate, not mutually exclusive, possibility is that the extension serves to test the functional integrity of large ribosomal subunits, so that the cell can detect and dispose of defective large subunits that induce stalling.
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CANCER ETIOLOGY

Variation in cancer risk among tissues can be explained by the number of stem cell divisions

Cristian Tomasetti<sup>1</sup> and Bert Vogelstein<sup>2</sup>

Some tissue types give rise to human cancers millions of times more often than other tissue types. Although this has been recognized for more than a century, it has never been explained. Here, we show that the lifetime risk of cancers of many different types is strongly correlated (0.81) with the total number of divisions of the normal self-renewing cells maintaining that tissue’s homeostasis. These results suggest that only a third of the variation in cancer risk among tissues is attributable to environmental factors or inherited predispositions. The majority is due to “bad luck,” that is, random mutations arising during DNA replication in normal, noncancerous stem cells. This is important not only for understanding the disease but also for designing strategies to limit the mortality it causes.

Extravariation in cancer incidence across different tissues is well known; for example, the lifetime risk of being diagnosed with cancer is 9.6% for lung, 1.08% for thyroid, 0.6% for brain and the rest of the nervous system, 0.003% for pelvic bone and 0.00072% for laryngeal cartilage (1–3). Some of these differences are associated with well-known risk factors such as smoking, alcohol use, ultraviolet light, or human papilloma virus (HPV) (4, 5), but this applies only to specific populations exposed to potent mutagens or viruses. And such exposures cannot explain why cancer risk in tissues within the alimentary tract can differ by as much as a factor of 24 (esophagus (0.51%), large intestine (4.82%), small intestine (0.20%), and stomach (0.06%) (3)). Moreover, cancers of the small intestinal epithelium are three times less common than brain tumors (3), even though small intestinal epithelial cells are exposed to much higher levels of environmental mutagens than are cells within the brain, which are protected by the blood-brain barrier.

Another well-studied contributor to cancer is inherited genetic variation. However, only 5 to 10% of cancers have a heritable component (6–8), and even when hereditary factors in predisposed individuals can be identified, the way in which these factors contribute to differences in cancer incidences among different organs is obscure. For example, the same, inherited mutant APC gene is responsible for both the predisposition to colorectal and small intestinal cancers
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in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) syndrome patients, yet cancers occur much more commonly in the large intestine than in the small intestine of these individuals.

If hereditary and environmental factors cannot fully explain the differences in organ-specific cancer risk, how else can these differences be explained? Here, we consider a third factor: the stochastic effects associated with the lifetime number of stem cell divisions within each tissue. In cancer epidemiology, the term “environmental” is generally used to denote anything not hereditary, and the stochastic processes involved in the development and homeostasis of tissues are grouped with external environmental influences in an uninformative way. We show here that the stochastic effects of DNA replication can be numerically estimated and distinguished from external environmental factors. Moreover, we show that these stochastic influences are in fact the major contributors to cancer overall, often more important than either hereditary or external environmental factors.

That cancer is largely the result of acquired genetic and epigenetic changes is based on the somatic mutation theory of cancer (9–13) and has been solidified by genome-wide analyses (14–16). The idea that the number of cells in a tissue and their cumulative number of divisions may be related to cancer risk, making them more vulnerable to carcinogenic factors, has been proposed but is controversial (17–19). Other insightful ideas relating to the nature of the factors underlying neoplasia are reviewed in (20–22).

The concept underlying the current work is that many genomic changes occur simply by chance during DNA replication rather than as a result of carcinogenic factors. Since the endogenous mutation rate of all human cell types appears to be nearly identical (23, 24), this concept predicts that there should be a strong, quantitative correlation between the lifetime number of divisions among a particular class of cells within each organ (stem cells) and the lifetime risk of cancer arising in that organ.

To test this prediction, we attempted to identify tissues in which the number and dynamics of stem cells have been described. Most cells in tissues are partially or fully differentiated cells that are typically short-lived and unlikely to be able to initiate a tumor. Only the stem cells—those that can self-renew and are responsible for the development and maintenance of the tissue's architecture—have this capacity. Stem cells often make up a small proportion of the total number of cells in a tissue and, until recently, their nature, number, and hierarchical division patterns were not known (25–28). Tissues were not included in our analysis if the requisite parameters were not found in the literature or if their estimation was difficult to derive.

Through an extensive literature search, we identified 31 tissue types in which stem cells had been quantitatively assessed (see the supplementary materials). We then plotted the total number of stem cell divisions during the average lifetime of a human on the x axis and the lifetime risk for cancer of that tissue type on the y axis (Fig. 1) (table S1). The lifetime risk in the United States for all included cancer types has been evaluated in detail, such as in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database (7). The correlation between these two very different parameters—number of stem cell divisions and lifetime risk—was striking, with a highly positive correlation (Spearman’s rho = 0.81; P < 3.5 × 10⁻⁷) (Fig. 1). Pearson’s linear correlation 0.804 [0.63 to 0.90; 95% confidence interval (CI)] was equivalently significant (P < 5.15 × 10⁻⁷). One of the most impressive features of this correlation was that it extended across five orders of magnitude, thereby applying to cancers with enormous differences in incidence. No other environmental or inherited factors are known to be correlated in this way across tumor types. Moreover, these correlations were extremely robust; when the parameters used to construct Fig. 1 were varied over a broad range of plausible values, the tight correlation remained intact (see the supplementary materials).

A linear correlation equal to 0.804 suggests that 65% (39% to 81% 95% CI) of the differences in cancer risk among different tissues can be explained by the total number of stem cell divisions in those tissues. Thus, the stochastic effects of

---

**Fig. 1.** The relationship between the number of stem cell divisions in the lifetime of a given tissue and the lifetime risk of cancer in that tissue. Values are from table S1, the derivation of which is discussed in the supplementary materials.
The incorporation of a replicative component as a third, quantitative determinant of cancer risk forces rethinking of our notions of cancer causation. The contribution of the classic determinants (external environment and heredity) to R-tumors is minimal (Fig. 1). Even for D-tumors, however, replicative effects are essential, and environmental and hereditary effects simply add to them. For example, patients with FAP are ~30 times as likely to develop colorectal cancer than duodenal cancer (Fig. 1). Our data suggest that this is because there are ~150 times as many stem cell divisions in the colon as in the duodenum. The lifetime risk of colorectal cancer would be very low, even in the presence of an underlying APC gene mutation, if colonic epithelial stem cells were not constantly dividing. A related point is that mice with inherited APC mutations display the opposite pattern: Small intestinal tumors are more common than large intestinal tumors. Our analysis provides a plausible explanation for this striking difference between mice and men; namely, in mice the small intestine undergoes more stem cell divisions than the large intestine (see the supplementary materials for the estimates). Another example is provided by melanocytes and basal epidermal cells of the skin, which are both exposed to the same carcinogen (ultraviolet light) at the identical dose, yet melanomas are much less common than basal cell carcinomas. Our data suggest that this difference is attributable to the fact that basal epidermal cells undergo a higher number of divisions than melanocytes (see the supplementary materials for the estimates). The total number of stem cells in an organ and their proliferation rate may of course be influenced by genetic and environmental factors such as those that affect height or weight.

In formal terms, our analyses show only that there is some stochastic factor related to stem cell division that seems to play a major role in cancer risk. This situation is analogous to that of the classic studies of Nordling and of Armitage and Doll (10, 29). These investigators showed that the relationship between age and the incidence of cancer was exponential, suggesting that many cellular changes, or stages, were required for carcinogenesis. On the basis of research since that time, these events are now interpreted as somatic mutations. Similarly, we interpret the stochastic factor underly the importance of the cell divisions to be somatic mutations. This interpretation is buttressed by the large number of somatic mutations known to exist in cancer cells (14–16, 30).

Our analysis shows that stochastic effects associated with DNA replication contribute in a substantial way to human cancer incidence in the United States. These results could have important public health implications. One of the most promising avenues for reducing cancer deaths is through prevention. How successful can such approaches be? The maximum fraction of tumors that are preventable through primary prevention (such as vaccines against infectious agents or altered lifestyles) may be evaluated from their ERS. For nonhereditary D-tumors, this fraction is high and primary prevention could make a major impact (37). Secondary prevention, obtainable in principle through early detection, could further reduce nonhereditary D-tumor-related deaths and is also instrumental for reducing hereditary D-tumor-related deaths. For R-tumors, primary prevention measures are not likely to be as effective, and secondary prevention should be the major focus.
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Smoking is associated with mosaic loss of chromosome Y

Jan P. Dumanski, Chiara Rasi, Mikael Linn, Hanna Davies, Martin Ingelsson, Vilmanyta Giedraitis, Lars Lannfelt, Patrik K. E. Magnusson, Cecilia M. Lindgren, Andrew F. Morris, David Cesarini, Magnus Johannesson, Eva Tiensuu Janson, Lars Lind, Nancy L. Pedersen, Mikael Lönn, Hanna Davies, Martin Ingelsson, Lars A. Forsberg

Tobacco smoking is a risk factor for numerous disorders, including cancers affecting organs outside the respiratory tract. Epidemiological data suggest that smoking is a greater risk factor for these cancers in males compared with females. This observation, together with the fact that males have a higher incidence of and mortality from most non–sex-specific cancers, remains unexplained. Loss of chromosome Y (LOY) in blood cells is associated with increased risk of nonhematological tumors. We demonstrate here that smoking is associated with LOY in blood cells in three independent cohorts [TwinGene: odds ratio (OR) = 4.3, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 2.8 to 6.7; Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men: OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.6 to 3.6; and Prospective Investigation of the Vasculature in Uppsala Seniors: OR = 3.5, 95% CI = 1.4 to 8.4] encompassing a total of 6014 men. The data also suggest that smoking has a transient and dose-dependent mutagenic effect on LOY status. The finding that smoking induces LOY thus links a preventable risk factor with the most common acquired human mutation.

**Fig. 1.** The association between smoking status and the level of LOY (i.e., mLRR-Y) in three independent cohorts. In all cohorts, these unadjusted analyses indicate that the current smokers (Yes) (table S5) had a significantly higher degree of mosaic LOY in blood, compared with noncurrent smokers (No), composed of never-smokers and previous smokers. ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests: TwinGene, D = 0.15, P = 1.13 × 10 −12; ULSAM, D = 0.15, P = 0.0006; PIVUS, D = 0.23, P = 0.0203). The definitions used for LOY scoring and the entire range of mLRR-Y data observed in each cohort are shown in figs. S3 to S5.
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**Tobacco smoking killed ~100 million people during the 20th century and is projected to kill ~1 billion people during the current century, assuming that the current frequency of smoking is retained (1, 2). Lung cancer is the prime cause of cancer-associated death in relation to smoking. However, smoking is also a risk factor for tumors outside the respiratory tract. Epidemiological data suggest that smoking is a greater risk factor for these cancers in males compared with females. This observation, together with the fact that males have a higher incidence of and mortality from most non–sex-specific cancers, remains unexplained. Loss of chromosome Y (LOY) in blood cells is associated with increased risk of nonhematological tumors. We demonstrate here that smoking is associated with LOY in blood cells in three independent cohorts [TwinGene: odds ratio (OR) = 4.3, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 2.8 to 6.7; Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men: OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.6 to 3.6; and Prospective Investigation of the Vasculature in Uppsala Seniors: OR = 3.5, 95% CI = 1.4 to 8.4] encompassing a total of 6014 men. The data also suggest that smoking has a transient and dose-dependent mutagenic effect on LOY status. The finding that smoking induces LOY thus links a preventable risk factor with the most common acquired human mutation.

**Nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)–array data using the 2.5MHumanOmni and HumanOmniExpress beadchip in the ULSAM and PIVUS/TwinGene studies, respectively (fig. S1). The estimation of the degree of mosaicism and scoring of LOY was undertaken using the continuous median logR ratio (mLRR-Y) estimate, calculated from SNP-array data as the median of the logR ratio of all SNP probes within the male-specific part of chromosome Y (MSY), as described previously (5). An mLRR-Y estimate close to zero indicates a normal chromosome Y state, whereas more negative mLRR-Y values denote an increasing level of blood cells with LOY. To facilitate comparisons between the three cohorts, we corrected the mLRR-Y values for all participants, using cohort-specific correction constants, as explained in the supplementary materials (figs. S1 and S2).

LOY was by far the most common postzygotic mutation found in the three cohorts. The age range at sampling in ULSAM and PIVUS was 70.7 to 83.6 years and 69.8 to 70.7 years, respectively, and we found LOY in 12.6% of ULSAM participants and 15.6% of PIVUS participants (figs. S3 and S4). The age range at sampling in TwinGene was 48 to 93 years, and the frequency of LOY in the entire cohort was 7.5% (fig. S5).

**Fig. 1.** The association between smoking status and the level of LOY (i.e., mLRR-Y) in three independent cohorts. In all cohorts, these unadjusted analyses indicate that the current smokers (Yes) (table S5) had a significantly higher degree of mosaic LOY in blood, compared with noncurrent smokers (No), composed of never-smokers and previous smokers. ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests: TwinGene, D = 0.15, P = 1.13 × 10−12; ULSAM, D = 0.15, P = 0.0006; PIVUS, D = 0.23, P = 0.0203). The definitions used for LOY scoring and the entire range of mLRR-Y data observed in each cohort are shown in figs. S3 to S5.
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