

Amphibian Ecology and Conservation

A Handbook of Techniques

Edited by
C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Techniques in Ecology and Conservation Series

Series Editor: William J. Sutherland

Bird Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques

William J. Sutherland, Ian Newton, and Rhys E. Green

Conservation Education and Outreach Techniques

Susan K. Jacobson, Mallory D. McDuff, and Martha C. Monroe

Forest Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques

Adrian C. Newton

Habitat Management for Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques

Malcolm Ausden

Conservation and Sustainable Use: A Handbook of Techniques

E.J. Milner-Gulland and J. Marcus Rowcliffe

Invasive Species Management: A Handbook of Techniques

Mick N. Clout and Peter A. Williams

Amphibian Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques

C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr

Preface

As this volume is completed, more than 6400 amphibian species have been recognized, with new taxa being described nearly every day. The last few decades have seen an explosion in systematic research, particularly in the tropics. Long-recognized centers of diversity have been explored using increasingly sophisticated sampling techniques, yielding many new taxa. At the same time, new centers of speciation, such as Sri Lanka and the Western Ghats of India, have been discovered, while molecular techniques have yielded previously unsuspected diversity within some well-known taxa, such as the plethodontid salamanders of southeastern North America and the green toad (*Bufo viridis*) complex of Eurasia. For amphibian systematists, these are exciting times.

Unfortunately, amphibians are now at greater peril than at any time in recent geologic history, a situation chronicled in two recent data-rich books (Lannoo 2005; Stuart *et al.* 2008). Habitats are being lost at alarming rates because of expanding human populations and generally favorable economic conditions fostering development; emerging infectious diseases, particularly amphibian chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*), threaten worldwide impacts; non-indigenous species proliferate, affecting amphibians and their habitats; and amphibians, with their permeable skins, diverse life histories, and often biphasic life cycles requiring both terrestrial and aquatic habitats, are being saturated by a host of lethal and sublethal toxic substances. New threats, such as the effects of global climate change, further imperil amphibians, especially those with limited distributions and dispersal capabilities. Fully one-third of all amphibians are now considered threatened (Stuart *et al.* 2004), and 168 species have become extinct within the last two decades. Clearly, these are treacherous times for many frogs, salamanders, and caecilians.

Amphibians are, quite frankly, engaging animals. Despite Linnaeus' early characterization of amphibians in the context of "Terrible are thy works, O God", biologists have come to appreciate that their diverse life histories and sheer numbers offer a wealth of material for research on basic ecological principles, such as trophic interactions, phenotypic plasticity, predator-prey interactions, community structure, mate choice and recognition, water balance, and many others. In response to threats, conservation biologists have probed these and other questions in hopes of understanding amphibian biology in order to prevent declines and extinctions. The basic and applied themes of biology merge

in these disciplines: understanding ecology leads to conservation options (see Gascon *et al.* 2007), and conservation-based research leads to a better appreciation of ecological principles.

To say that there are a great many techniques available in ecological and conservation-based research on amphibians is an understatement. The pages of journals such as *Herpetological Review* and *Applied Herpetology* contain techniques papers with every issue. Specialized books, such as Heyer *et al.* (1994), Henle and Veith (1997), and Gent and Gibson (1998), offer additional summaries that are as applicable today as when they were published. No one volume can include all techniques. The current volume is meant not to supplant these earlier works, but to supplement them and add new areas not previously summarized, such as occupancy modeling, landscape ecology, genetics, telemetry, and disease biosecurity. Our objectives have been to delineate important new developments, to give an idea as to what the techniques tell or do not tell a researcher, to focus attention on biases and data inference, and to get readers to appreciate sampling as an integral part of their science, rather than just a means of capturing animals. The techniques used will set the boundaries within which results can or should be interpreted.

As noted earlier, amphibian systematics is a flourishing field, with many new opportunities made available by combining large datasets using molecular and morphological data with powerful computer analysis. The phylogeny of amphibians is undergoing increasingly sophisticated analysis. Some analyses, such as those of Frost *et al.* (2006), suggest relationships that differ substantially from “traditional” concepts. If accepted, extensive nomenclatural changes will be warranted. Although Frost *et al.* (2006) have advocated substantive changes in nomenclature, many of which will likely be accepted with further study, other amphibian biologists disagree with automatically accepting every change proposed by these authors. In this book, I have decided to retain the older nomenclature rather than make a taxonomic decision each time a name is mentioned. The intended audience of this volume (biologists starting their careers in ecology and conservation) likely will be more familiar with the older generic names of *Bufo*, *Rana*, and *Hyla*, and initially may be confused by the unfamiliar replacement names. Readers should be aware, however, that names such as *Lithobates* (= *Rana*, in part), *Anaxyrus* (= *Bufo*, in part), and others currently unfamiliar may soon be more commonplace.

I wish to thank the following for taking their valuable time to review manuscripts and offer suggestions for improving this volume: James Austin, Larissa Bailey, Bruce Bury, Dan Cogălniceanu, Sarah Converse, Steve Corn, Rafael Ernst, Alisa Gallant, Marian Griffey, Kerry Griffis-Kyle, Richard Griffiths,

Margaret Gunzburger, Tibor Hartel, Robert Jehle, Steve Johnson, Y.-C. Kam, Sarah Kupferberg, Frank Lemckert, Harvey Lillywhite, John Maerz, Joseph Mitchell, Clinton Moore, Erin Muths, James Petranka, Benedikt Schmidt, Ulrich Sinsch, Kevin Smith, Lora Smith, Joseph Travis, Susan Walls, and Matthew Whiles. I greatly appreciate the support from Ian Sherman and Helen Eaton at Oxford University Press and editorial help from freelance copy-editor Nik Prowse, and thank series editor, Bill Sutherland, for inviting me to edit the amphibian volume. This volume is dedicated to all the biologists who take up the challenge of amphibian ecology and conservation.

C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr

References

- Frost, D. R., Grant, T., Faivovich, J., Bain, R. H., Haas, A., Haddad, C. F. B., de Sá, R. O., Channing, A., Wilkinson, M., Donnellan, S. C. *et al.* (2006). The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, **297**, 1–370.
- Gascon, C., Collins, J. P., Moore, R. D., Church, D. R., McKay, J. E., and Mendelson, III, J. R. (eds) (2007). *Amphibian Conservation Action Plan*. IUCN/SSC Amphibian Specialist Group, Gland and Cambridge.
- Gent, T. and Gibson, S. (eds) (1998). *Herpetofauna Worker's Manual*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough.
- Henle, K. and Veith, M. (eds) (1997). *Naturschutzrelevante Methoden der Feldherpetologie*. Mertensiella 7.
- Heyer, W. R., Donnelly, M. A., McDiarmid, R. W., Hayek, L.-A., and Foster, M. S. (eds). (1994). *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity. Standard Methods for Amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC.
- Lannoo, M. J. (ed) (2005). *Amphibian Decline. The Conservation Status of United States Species*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- Stuart, S., Chanson, J. S., Cox, N. A., Young, B. E., Rodrigues, A. S. L., Fishman, D. L., and Waller, R. W. (2004). Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. *Science*, **306**, 1783–6.
- Stuart, S., Hoffman, M., Chanson, J. S., Cox, N. A., Berridge, R. J., Ramani, P., and Young, B. E. (eds) (2008). *Threatened Amphibians of the World*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona; IUCN, Gland; Conservation International, Arlington, VA.

Contents

List of contributors

xxv

Part 1 Introduction	1
1 Amphibian diversity and life history	3
<i>Martha L. Crump</i>	
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Amphibian species richness and distribution	3
1.3 Amphibian lifestyles and life history diversity	5
1.3.1 <i>Caecilians</i>	6
1.3.1.1 Aquatic	7
1.3.1.2 Combination aquatic and terrestrial	7
1.3.1.3 Terrestrial and/or fossorial	7
1.3.2 <i>Salamanders</i>	7
1.3.2.1 Aquatic	8
1.3.2.2 Combination of aquatic and terrestrial	8
1.3.2.3 Terrestrial	9
1.3.2.4 Fossorial	10
1.3.2.5 Arboreal	10
1.3.3 <i>Anurans</i>	10
1.3.3.1 Aquatic/aquatic	10
1.3.3.2 Terrestrial/aquatic	11
1.3.3.3 Arboreal/aquatic	13
1.3.3.4 Fossorial/aquatic	14
1.3.3.5 Terrestrial/non-aquatic	14
1.3.3.6 Arboreal/non-aquatic	14
1.3.3.7 Fossorial/non-aquatic	15
1.4 Amphibian declines and why they matter	15
1.4.1 <i>Economics</i>	16
1.4.2 <i>Ecosystem function</i>	16
1.4.3 <i>Esthetics</i>	17
1.4.4 <i>Ethics</i>	17
1.5 References	17
2 Setting objectives in field studies	21
<i>Dan Cogălniceanu and Claude Miaud</i>	
2.1 Basic concepts for a good start	21

2.2	Steps required for a successful study	24
2.2.1	<i>Temporal and spatial scales</i>	24
2.2.2	<i>Choosing the model species</i>	25
2.2.3	<i>Pilot/desk study</i>	26
2.2.4	<i>Elaborate a conceptual model</i>	26
2.2.5	<i>The SMART approach</i>	27
2.2.6	<i>Applying the SMART approach to plan an amphibian inventory</i>	28
2.2.7	<i>Experimental versus field studies</i>	29
2.2.8	<i>Methods for sampling, data storage, and analysis</i>	29
2.3	Trade-offs and pitfalls	30
2.4	Ethical issues	31
2.5	Acknowledgments	32
2.6	References	32

Part 2 Larvae **37**

3 Morphology of amphibian larvae **39**

Roy W. McDiarmid and Ronald Altig

3.1	Background	39
3.2	Larval caecilians	40
3.2.1	<i>Morphology and ontogeny</i>	40
3.2.2	<i>Coloration</i>	42
3.2.3	<i>Diversity</i>	42
3.3	Larval and larviform salamanders	42
3.3.1	<i>Morphology and ontogeny</i>	42
3.3.2	<i>Coloration</i>	44
3.3.3	<i>Diversity</i>	44
3.4	Anuran tadpoles	45
3.4.1	<i>Morphology and ontogeny</i>	45
3.4.2	<i>Coloration</i>	49
3.4.3	<i>Diversity</i>	49
3.5	Summary	50
3.6	References	51

4 Larval sampling **55**

David K. Skelly and Jonathan L. Richardson

4.1	Introduction	55
4.1.1	<i>Why sample larvae?</i>	55
4.1.2	<i>Target responses</i>	56
4.1.3	<i>Timing</i>	57
4.1.4	<i>Sampling effort</i>	57
4.2	Sampling techniques	58
4.2.1	<i>Box/pipe sampler</i>	58

4.2.1.1	Description	58
4.2.1.2	Application	60
4.2.1.3	Considerations	60
4.2.2	<i>Dip net</i>	60
4.2.2.1	Description	60
4.2.2.2	Application	61
4.2.2.3	Considerations	61
4.2.3	<i>Seine</i>	61
4.2.3.1	Description	61
4.2.3.2	Application	62
4.2.3.3	Considerations	62
4.2.4	<i>Leaf litterbags</i>	62
4.2.4.1	Description	62
4.2.4.2	Application	63
4.2.4.3	Considerations	63
4.2.5	<i>Trapping</i>	64
4.2.5.1	Description	64
4.2.5.2	Application	64
4.2.5.3	Considerations	64
4.2.6	<i>Mark-recapture</i>	65
4.2.6.1	Description	65
4.2.6.2	Application	66
4.2.6.3	Considerations	66
4.3	Other techniques	66
4.3.1	<i>Bottom net</i>	67
4.3.2	<i>Electroshocking</i>	67
4.3.3	<i>Visual encounter survey</i>	67
4.4	Conclusions	67
4.5	Acknowledgments	68
4.6	References	68

5 Dietary assessments of larval amphibians 71

Matt R. Whiles and Ronald Altig

5.1	Background	71
5.2	Larval caecilians and salamanders	72
5.3	Anuran tadpoles	72
5.4	Assessing food sources and diets	73
5.4.1	<i>Category I: preparatory studies</i>	73
5.4.2	<i>Category II: gut contents</i>	74
5.4.3	<i>Procedures: anurans and small predators</i>	74
5.4.4	<i>Processing and analysis of gut contents</i>	75
5.5	Category III: assimilatory diet	78
5.5.1	<i>Stable-isotope analysis</i>	78
5.5.2	<i>Procedures</i>	80
5.5.3	<i>Fatty acid analyses</i>	81

5.6	Summary	82
5.7	References	83
6	Aquatic mesocosms	87
	<i>Raymond D. Semlitsch and Michelle D. Boone</i>	
6.1	Introduction	87
6.2	Historical background	88
6.3	Why use mesocosms?	90
6.4	Types of mesocosm	93
6.5	Setting up mesocosms	95
6.6	Common experimental designs	96
6.7	Case studies	98
	6.7.1 <i>Community ecology</i>	98
	6.7.2 <i>Evolutionary ecology</i>	99
	6.7.3 <i>Ecotoxicology</i>	100
	6.7.4 <i>Land use and management</i>	100
6.8	Conclusion	101
6.9	References	102
7	Water-quality criteria for amphibians	105
	<i>Donald W. Sparling</i>	
7.1	Introduction	105
7.2	Dissolved oxygen	105
7.3	Temperature	108
7.4	pH	110
7.5	Conductivity, hardness, and salinity	112
7.6	Total and dissolved organic carbon	113
7.7	Pollutants	114
	7.7.1 <i>Fertilizers and nitrogenous compounds</i>	114
	7.7.2 <i>Pesticides</i>	114
	7.7.3 <i>Metals</i>	115
	7.7.4 <i>Organic pollutants and halogenated hydrocarbons</i>	115
	7.7.5 <i>Pharmaceuticals</i>	116
7.8	Summary and conclusions	116
7.9	References	117
Part 3	Juveniles and adults	121
8	Measuring and marking post-metamorphic amphibians	123
	<i>John W. Ferner</i>	
8.1	Introduction	123
8.2	Toe-clipping	125

8.2.1	<i>Anurans</i>	125
8.2.2	<i>Salamanders</i>	129
8.2.3	<i>Ethical issues related to toe-clipping of amphibians</i>	129
8.3	Branding	130
8.3.1	<i>Anurans</i>	130
8.3.2	<i>Salamanders</i>	131
8.4	Tagging and banding	131
8.4.1	<i>Anurans</i>	131
8.4.2	<i>Salamanders</i>	133
8.4.3	<i>Caecilians</i>	133
8.5	Trailing devices	133
8.6	Pattern mapping	134
8.6.1	<i>Anurans</i>	134
8.6.2	<i>Salamanders</i>	135
8.7	Passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags	136
8.7.1	<i>Anurans</i>	137
8.7.2	<i>Salamanders</i>	137
8.7.3	<i>Caecilians</i>	137
8.8	Taking measurements	138
8.9	Recommendations	138
8.10	References	139

9 Egg mass and nest counts 143

Peter W. C. Paton and Reid N. Harris

9.1	Background: using egg mass and nest counts to monitor populations	143
9.2	Oviposition strategies	144
9.3	Egg-mass counts	145
9.4	Amphibian nests and nest counts	147
9.5	Clutch characteristics	150
9.6	Spatial distribution of eggs	152
9.7	Breeding phenology	152
9.8	Number of surveys needed	153
9.9	Estimating egg-mass detection probabilities	154
9.10	Variation in counts among observers	154
9.11	Marking eggs	155
9.12	Situations in which nest counts are not practical	155
9.12.1	<i>Nest destruction</i>	155
9.12.2	<i>Desertion of attendant</i>	155
9.13	How to count eggs in a nest	156
9.14	Estimating hatching success	156
9.15	Analysis of egg-mass count data	157
9.16	Summary	157
9.17	References	158

10 Dietary assessments of adult amphibians 167*Mirco Solé and Dennis Rödder*

10.1	Introduction	167
10.1.1	<i>Adult anurans</i>	167
10.1.2	<i>Adult caudata</i>	168
10.1.3	<i>Adult gymnophiona</i>	169
10.2	Methods to obtain prey items: historical overview	169
10.2.1	<i>Stomach flushing: materials</i>	170
10.2.2	<i>When should sampling be conducted?</i>	171
10.2.3	<i>How to perform the flush</i>	171
10.3	Data analysis	172
10.3.1	<i>Measuring prey availability and electivity</i>	174
10.3.2	<i>Comparing trophic niche structure</i>	176
10.3.3	<i>Fatty acid and stable-isotope analyses</i>	177
10.3.4	<i>Further biochemical analyses</i>	178
10.4	General considerations	178
10.4.1	<i>Ontogenetic changes</i>	179
10.4.2	<i>Seasonal changes</i>	179
10.5	Conclusions	180
10.6	Acknowledgments	180
10.7	References	180

11 Movement patterns and radiotelemetry 185*Dale M. Madison, Valorie R. Titus, and Victor S. Lamoureux*

11.1	Introduction	185
11.2	Equipment	186
11.2.1	<i>Receivers and antennas</i>	186
11.2.2	<i>Transmitters</i>	186
11.2.2.1	<i>External transmitters</i>	187
11.2.2.2	<i>Internal transmitters</i>	188
11.3	Surgical techniques	189
11.3.1	<i>Surgery</i>	189
11.3.2	<i>Recovery and healing</i>	191
11.4	Tracking procedures	191
11.4.1	<i>Animal release</i>	191
11.4.2	<i>Locating signal source</i>	192
11.4.3	<i>Data collection</i>	193
11.5	Analysis of movement data	193
11.6	Validation of telemetry procedures	197
11.6.1	<i>Internal condition and mass</i>	197
11.6.2	<i>Movements</i>	198
11.6.3	<i>Reproduction</i>	198
11.6.4	<i>Injury and survivorship</i>	199

11.7	Conclusions	199
11.8	References	200

12 Field enclosures and terrestrial cages **203**

Elizabeth B. Harper, Joseph H.K. Pechmann, and James W. Petranka

12.1	Introduction: amphibians in the terrestrial environment	203
12.2	What are the purposes of terrestrial enclosures?	204
12.3	Defining the research question	205
12.3.1	<i>Questions related to habitat types</i>	205
12.3.2	<i>Questions with treatments that can be assigned within enclosures</i>	207
12.4	Constructing enclosures	208
12.4.1	<i>Location of enclosures</i>	208
12.4.2	<i>Size and number of enclosures</i>	209
12.4.3	<i>Building enclosures that minimize escapes and trespasses</i>	216
12.4.4	<i>“Standardizing” conditions among enclosures</i>	218
12.5	Study species	218
12.5.1	<i>Choice of species</i>	218
12.5.2	<i>Source and age of animals</i>	219
12.6	Census techniques	219
12.6.1	<i>Individual marks</i>	219
12.6.2	<i>Methods of capture</i>	220
12.6.3	<i>Frequency of censuses</i>	220
12.7	Response metrics	221
12.7.1	<i>Vital rates: survival, growth, age at reproductive maturity, fecundity</i>	221
12.7.2	<i>Physiological responses</i>	222
12.7.3	<i>Behavioral responses</i>	222
12.8	Thinking outside the box	223
12.9	References	223

Part 4 Amphibian populations **227**

13 Drift fences, coverboards, and other traps **229**

John D. Willson and J. Whitfield Gibbons

13.1	Introduction	229
13.2	Drift fences, funnel traps, and other passive capture methods	229
13.2.1	<i>What are passive traps?</i>	229
13.2.2	<i>How are passive traps constructed, aligned, and monitored?</i>	231

13.2.3	<i>What can passive traps tell you? What can they not tell you?</i>	235
13.3	Coverboards and other traps that require active capture	236
13.3.1	<i>What are coverboards and other active traps?</i>	236
13.3.2	<i>How are active traps constructed, aligned, and monitored?</i>	237
13.3.3	<i>What can active traps tell you? What can they not tell you?</i>	240
13.4	References	241
14	Area-based surveys	247
	<i>David M. Marsh and Lillian M.B. Haywood</i>	
14.1	Introduction: what are area-based surveys?	247
14.1.2	<i>Why use area-based surveys?</i>	247
14.2	Kinds of area-based survey	249
14.3	Specific examples of area-based surveys	249
14.3.1	<i>Leaf-litter plots</i>	249
14.3.2	<i>Natural-cover surveys for terrestrial salamanders</i>	250
14.3.3	<i>Nocturnal transects</i>	251
14.3.4	<i>Quadrats for stream amphibians</i>	252
14.3.5	<i>Soil quadrats for caecilians</i>	252
14.4	Modifications	253
14.4.1	<i>Distance sampling</i>	253
14.4.2	<i>Adaptive cluster sampling</i>	253
14.5	Design issues: choice of sampling unit	254
14.5.1	<i>Design issues: how many replicates?</i>	255
14.5.2	<i>Reducing variation among replicates</i>	255
14.5.3	<i>How many times to survey each replicate?</i>	256
14.6	An example of study design	257
14.7	Assumptions of area-based surveys	259
14.8	Summary and recommendations	260
14.9	References	260
15	Rapid assessments of amphibian diversity	263
	<i>James R. Vonesh, Joseph C. Mitchell, Kim Howell, and Andrew J. Crawford</i>	
15.1	Background: rapid assessment of amphibian diversity	263
15.1.1	<i>When is an RA needed?</i>	264
15.2	Planning an RA	265
15.2.1	<i>Developing objectives</i>	265
15.2.2	<i>Costs and funding</i>	266
15.2.3	<i>Team selection and training</i>	266
15.2.4	<i>Permits</i>	267

15.2.5	<i>Data management</i>	267
15.2.6	<i>Developing the sampling plan</i>	268
15.2.6.1	Selecting sampling sites	268
15.2.6.2	Selecting sampling time	270
15.2.6.3	Selecting sampling techniques	270
15.2.6.3.1	<i>Visual encounter surveys (VESs)</i>	270
15.2.6.3.2	<i>Assumptions and limitations of VESs</i>	272
15.2.6.4	Determining what data to collect	273
15.2.6.5	Field logistics	274
15.3	In the field	274
15.4	Compiling data and interpreting results	274
15.5	Recommendations and reporting	275
15.6	Summary	275
15.7	References	276

16 Auditory monitoring of anuran populations 281

Michael E. Dorcas, Steven J. Price, Susan C. Walls, and William J. Barichivich

16.1	Introduction	281
16.2	MCS	281
16.2.1	<i>History and current status of MCS</i>	282
16.2.2	<i>Study objectives: what can MCS tell us?</i>	283
16.2.3	<i>Survey design</i>	283
16.2.4	<i>Other survey-design issues to consider: the efficiency of MCS</i>	284
16.2.5	<i>Limitations of MCS data</i>	287
16.3	ARS	288
16.3.1	<i>Sources for ARS</i>	289
16.3.2	<i>Construction, deployment, and retrieval of data</i>	289
16.3.3	<i>Monitoring environmental data</i>	292
16.3.4	<i>Answering questions using ARS</i>	292
16.3.5	<i>Limitation of ARS</i>	294
16.4	Conclusions	294
16.5	Acknowledgments	295
16.6	References	295

17 Measuring habitat 299

Kimberly J. Babbitt, Jessica S. Veysey, and George W. Tanner

17.1	Introduction	299
17.2	Habitat selection	299
17.3	Spatial and temporal scale	300
17.4	Approaches for examining habitat selection	300
17.5	Determining availability	301

17.6	What to measure	302
17.7	Weather variables	302
17.8	Aquatic habitat	304
17.9	Physical habitat variables	304
17.10	Chemical variables	307
17.11	Measuring vegetation	309
17.11.1	<i>Tree (overstory) measurements</i>	310
17.11.2	<i>Shrub (midstory) measurements</i>	312
17.11.3	<i>Ground (understory) measurements</i>	313
17.12	Edaphic features	314
17.13	Conclusion	314
17.14	References	315

Part 5 Amphibian communities 319

18 Diversity and similarity 321

C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr

18.1	Introduction	321
18.2	Data transformation	322
18.3	Species diversity	323
18.3.1	<i>Sampling considerations</i>	323
18.3.2	<i>Species richness</i>	324
18.3.3	<i>Species accumulation curves</i>	325
18.3.4	<i>Heterogeneity</i>	327
18.3.5	<i>Evenness and dominance</i>	329
18.4	Similarity	329
18.5	Software	331
18.6	Summary	334
18.7	References	334

19 Landscape ecology and GIS methods 339

Viorel D. Popescu and James P. Gibbs

19.1	Introduction	339
19.1.1	<i>Relevance of landscape ecology to amphibian biology and conservation</i>	339
19.1.2	<i>Defining a “landscape” from an amphibian’s perspective</i>	341
19.1.3	<i>GIS</i>	342
19.2	Applications of spatial data for amphibian conservation	346
19.2.1	<i>Multiscale predictors of species occurrence and abundance</i>	346
19.2.2	<i>Landscape thresholds</i>	347
19.2.3	<i>Connectivity/isolation in amphibian populations</i>	349

19.2.4	<i>Landscape permeability</i>	351
19.2.5	<i>Landscape genetics</i>	352
19.3	Spatial statistics	353
19.4	Limitations and future directions	354
19.5	References	356
Part 6 Physiological ecology and genetics		361
20 Physiological ecology: field methods and perspective		363
<i>Harvey B. Lillywhite</i>		
20.1	Introduction	363
20.1.1	<i>Important features of amphibians</i>	364
20.2	Heat exchange, body temperature, and thermoregulation	365
20.2.1	<i>Thermal acclimation of physiological function</i>	365
20.2.2	<i>Early methods in field studies of amphibian thermal relations</i>	366
20.2.3	<i>Precautions for temperature measurements</i>	367
20.2.4	<i>Telemetry</i>	371
20.3	Water relations	372
20.3.1	<i>Cutaneous water exchange: terrestrial environments</i>	372
20.3.2	<i>Cutaneous water exchange: aquatic environments</i>	374
20.4	Measuring water exchange	374
20.5	Energetics	375
20.6	Modeling amphibian–environment interactions	376
20.6.1	<i>Mathematical models</i>	376
20.6.2	<i>Physical models</i>	377
20.7	Other issues and future research directions	379
20.8	References	382
21 Models in field studies of temperature and moisture		387
<i>Jodi J. L. Rowley and Ross A. Alford</i>		
21.1	Introduction	387
21.1.1	<i>The importance of understanding the temperature and moisture environments of amphibians</i>	387
21.1.2	<i>Physical models of amphibians</i>	389
21.2	Field models for investigating temperature and moisture	390
21.2.1	<i>Models with zero resistance to EWL</i>	390
21.2.2	<i>A system of models that allows for variable EWL</i>	390
21.2.2.1	<i>Rationale</i>	390
21.2.2.2	<i>Model design and construction</i>	391

21.2.2.3	Example of model construction and validation in the laboratory	392
21.2.2.4	Field validation of use of models to characterize available temperatures	395
21.2.2.5	The importance of matching the spectral properties of models to living animals	399
21.2.2.6	Models as indicators of relative moisture availability of microenvironments	399
21.3	Summary and future developments	402
21.4	References	403

22 Genetics in field ecology and conservation 407

Trevor J. C. Beebe

22.1	Background: the importance of genetics in ecology and conservation	407
22.2	Molecular methods for investigating amphibian populations	408
22.2.1	<i>Laboratory facilities</i>	408
22.2.2	<i>Sampling</i>	409
22.2.3	<i>DNA extraction</i>	409
22.2.3.1	Proteinase K/phenol/chloroform method	410
22.2.3.2	Kit-based DNA extraction	410
22.2.3.3	Chelex method	410
22.2.3.4	FTA cards	410
22.2.3.5	Summary of DNA-extraction methods	410
22.2.4	<i>Quantifying DNA recoveries</i>	411
22.2.5	<i>The basis of PCR analysis</i>	411
22.2.6	<i>Choice of analytical methods</i>	412
22.2.6.1	Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA)	412
22.2.6.2	Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analyses	413
22.2.6.2.1	<i>RAPD analysis</i>	413
22.2.6.2.2	<i>AFLP analysis</i>	414
22.2.6.2.3	<i>Microsatellite analysis</i>	414
22.3	Analysis of genetic data	417
22.3.1	<i>Cryptic species or life-stage identification</i>	417
22.3.2	<i>Genetic diversity, inbreeding, and bottlenecks</i>	417
22.3.3	<i>Identification of barriers to movement</i>	419
22.3.4	<i>Defining populations and population size</i>	420
22.3.5	<i>Historical issues</i>	421
22.3.6	<i>Behavior and sexual selection</i>	423
22.4	Future developments	423
22.5	References	425

Part 7 Monitoring, status, and trends	429
23 Selection of species and sampling areas: the importance to inference	431
<i>Paul Stephen Corn</i>	
23.1 Introduction	431
23.2 Sampling	433
23.3 Study sites and consequences of convenience sampling	435
23.4 Abundance and inference	439
23.5 Conclusions	442
23.6 Acknowledgments	443
23.7 References	443
24 Capture–mark–recapture, removal sampling, and occupancy models	447
<i>Larissa L. Bailey and James D. Nichols</i>	
24.1 Introduction	447
24.2 Estimating amphibian population size and vital rates	448
24.2.1 <i>Marking: tag type and subsequent encounter</i>	448
24.2.2 <i>Capture–mark–recapture</i>	449
24.2.3 <i>Removal sampling</i>	455
24.3 Estimating amphibian occupancy and vital rates	455
24.3.1 <i>Occupancy estimation</i>	456
24.3.2 <i>Estimation of occupancy vital rates: extinction and colonization</i>	457
24.4 Summary and general recommendations	458
24.5 Disclaimer	459
24.6 References	459
25 Quantifying abundance: counts, detection probabilities, and estimates	465
<i>Benedikt R. Schmidt and Jérôme Pellet</i>	
25.1 Background: imperfect detection in amphibian ecology and conservation	465
25.2 Imperfect detection	466
25.2.1 <i>Counts underestimate abundance</i>	467
25.2.2 <i>Per-visit and cumulative detection probabilities</i>	468
25.2.3 <i>Temporal and spatial variation in detection probabilities</i>	469
25.3 Components of imperfect detection	470
25.4 How to deal with imperfect detection	471

25.4.1	<i>Estimation of abundance</i>	471
25.4.2	<i>Other approaches to dealing with imperfect detection</i>	473
25.5	Designing a sampling protocol	476
25.6	Software	476
25.7	Outlook	477
25.8	References	477
26	Disease monitoring and biosecurity	481
	<i>D. Earl Green, Matthew J. Gray, and Debra L. Miller</i>	
26.1	Introduction	481
26.2	Amphibian diseases of concern	482
26.2.1	<i>Infectious diseases</i>	482
26.2.2	<i>Parasitic diseases</i>	487
26.2.3	<i>Toxins</i>	487
26.3	Disease monitoring: detection and diagnosis	487
26.3.1	<i>Disease surveillance</i>	487
26.3.2	<i>Sample size</i>	490
26.3.3	<i>Sample collection and shipment</i>	490
26.3.4	<i>Diagnostics</i>	494
26.4	Biosecurity: preventing disease transmission	497
26.4.1	<i>Human and animal safety</i>	497
26.4.2	<i>Washing and disinfecting equipment</i>	498
26.4.3	<i>Movement of animals and disease management</i>	499
26.5	Conclusions	500
26.6	References	501
27	Conservation and management	507
	<i>C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr</i>	
27.1	Introduction	507
27.1.1	<i>Statutory protection</i>	508
27.1.2	<i>Protecting habitats</i>	508
27.2	Managing amphibian populations	509
27.3	Wetland breeding sites	510
27.3.1	<i>Wetland integrity and hydroperiod</i>	510
27.3.2	<i>Wetland creation and restoration</i>	512
27.3.3	<i>Core habitat and buffer zones</i>	514
27.3.4	<i>Vegetation structure, composition, and canopy cover</i>	516
27.3.5	<i>Water quality</i>	516
27.4	Terrestrial habitats	517
27.4.1	<i>Contiguous habitats and edge effects</i>	517
27.4.2	<i>Silviculture</i>	517

27.4.3	<i>Restoring degraded lands</i>	518
27.5	Migratory and dispersal routes	519
27.5.1	<i>Corridors between habitat fragments</i>	519
27.5.2	<i>Crossing transportation corridors</i>	519
27.6	Intensive manipulation of individuals	521
27.6.1	<i>Captive breeding</i>	521
27.6.2	<i>Relocation, repatriation, translocation (RRT)</i>	521
27.6.3	<i>Disease and biosecurity</i>	522
27.7	Conclusion	523
27.8	References	523
 <i>Index</i>		 529

Contributors

Ross A. Alford School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia. E-mail: ross.alford@jcu.edu.au

Ronald Altig Department of Biological Sciences, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, Mississippi 39762-5759, USA. E-mail: raltig@biology.msstate.edu

Kimberly J. Babbitt Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA. E-mail: kbabbitt@cisunix.unh.edu

Larissa L. Bailey Department of Fish, Wildlife and Conservation Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA. E-mail: Larissa.Bailey@colostate.edu

William J. Barichivich Florida Integrated Science Center, US Geological Survey, 7920 NW 71st Street, Gainesville, FL 32653, USA. E-mail: wbarichivich@usgs.gov

Trevor J. C. Beebee School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QG, UK. E-mail: t.j.c.beebee@sussex.ac.uk

Michelle D. Boone Department of Zoology, 212 Pearson Hall, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio 45056, USA. E-mail: BooneMD@muohio.edu

Andrew J. Crawford Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado Postal 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancón, Republic of Panama. E-mail: andrew@dna.ac

Dan Cogălniceanu University Ovidius Constanța, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Bvd. Mamaia 124, Constanța, Romania. E-mail: dcogalniceanu@univ-ovidius.ro

Paul Stephen Corn U.S. Geological Survey, Aldo Leopold Wilderness Research Institute, 790 E. Beckwith Ave., Missoula, MT 59801, USA. E-mail: scorn@usgs.gov

Martha L. Crump Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona 86011, USA. E-mail: marty.crump@nau.edu

C. Kenneth Dodd, Jr Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA. E-mail: Terrapene600@gmail.com

Michael E. Dorcas Department of Biology, Davidson College, Davidson, NC 28035, USA. E-mail: midorcas@davidson.edu

John W. Ferner Department of Biology, Thomas More College, Crestview Hills, Kentucky 41017, USA. E-mail: john.ferner@thomasmore.edu

J. Whitfield Gibbons Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, P.O. Drawer E, Aiken, South Carolina 29802, USA. E-mail: wgibbons@uga.edu

James P. Gibbs Department of Environmental and Forest Biology, State University of New York, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA. E-mail: jpgibbs@esf.edu

Matthew J. Gray Center for Wildlife Health, Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, 274 Ellington Plant Sciences Building, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA. E-mail: mgray11@utk.edu

D. Earl Green U.S. Geological Survey, National Wildlife Health Center, 6006 Schroeder Drive, Madison, WI 53711, USA. E-mail: david_green@usgs.gov

Elizabeth B. Harper State University of New York, College of Environmental Sciences and Forestry, 1 Forestry Drive, Syracuse, New York 13210, USA. E-mail: eharper@esf.edu

Reid N. Harris Department of Biology, James Madison University, Harrisonburg, Virginia 22807, USA. E-mail: harrisRN@jmu.edu

Lillian M. B. Haywood Department of Biology, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, VA 24450, USA. E-mail: haywoodl@wlu.edu

Kim Howell Department of Zoology and Wildlife Conservation, PO Box 35064, University of Dar es Salaam, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. E-mail: kmhowell@udsm.ac.tz

Victor S. Lamoureux Binghamton University, PO Box 6000, Binghamton, New York 13902, USA. E-mail: vlamoureux@stny.rr.com

Harvey B. Lillywhite Department of Zoology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA. E-mail: hbl@zoo.ufl.edu

Dale M. Madison Binghamton University, PO Box 6000, Binghamton, New York 13902, USA. E-mail: dmadison@binghamton.edu

David M. Marsh Department of Biology, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, VA 24450, USA. E-mail: marshd@wlu.edu

Roy W. McDiarmid Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, US Geological Survey, National Museum of Natural History, Washington DC 20036, USA. E-mail: mcdiarmidr@si.edu

Claude Miaud Laboratoire d'Alpine UMR CNRS 5553, Université de Savoie, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac, France. E-mail: claude.miaud@univ-savoie.fr

Debra L. Miller Veterinary Diagnostic and Investigational Laboratory, The University of Georgia, College of Veterinary Medicine, 43 Brighton Road, Tifton, GA 31793, USA. E-mail: millerdl@uga.edu

Joseph C. Mitchell Mitchell Ecological Research Service, LLC, PO Box 5638, Gainesville, FL 32627–5638, USA. E-mail: dr.joe.mitchell@gmail.com

James D. Nichols U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, 12100 Beech Forest Road, Laurel, MD 20708, USA. E-mail: jnichols@usgs.gov

Peter W. C. Paton Department of Natural Resources, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA. E-mail: ppaton@uri.edu

Joseph H. K. Pechmann Department of Biology, 132 Natural Sciences Building, Western Carolina University, Cullowhee, North Carolina 28723, USA. E-mail: jpechmann@email.wcu.edu

Jérôme Pellet A. Mailbach Sàrl, CP 99, Ch. de la Poya 10, CH-1610 Oron-la-Ville, Switzerland. E-mail : jerome.pellet@amailbach.ch

James W. Petranka Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Asheville, Asheville, North Carolina 28804, USA. E-mail: petranka@unca.edu

Viorel D. Popescu Department of Wildlife Ecology, University of Maine, Orono, ME 04469, USA. E-mail: dan.popescu@umit.maine.edu

Steven J. Price Department of Biology, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, USA. E-mail: sjprice@davidson.edu

Jonathan L. Richardson School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, 370 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA. E-mail: jonathan.richardson@yale.edu

Dennis Rödder Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Adenauerallee 160, D-53113, Bonn, Germany and Faculty of Geography/Geosciences, Trier University, Wissenschaftspark Trier-Petrisberg, Am Wissenschaftspark 25–27, D-54286 Trier, Germany. E-mail: d.roedder.zfmk@uni-bonn.de

Jodi J. L. Rowley School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia. E-mail: Jodi.Rowley@austmus.gov.au

Benedikt R. Schmidt Zoologisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland. E-mail: bschmidt@zool.uzh.ch

Raymond D. Semlitsch Division of Biological Sciences, 105 Tucker Hall, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65211, USA. E-mail: SemlitschR@missouri.edu

David K. Skelly School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, 370 Prospect Street, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA. E-mail: david.skelly@yale.edu

Mirco Solé Department of Biology, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Ilhéus – Itabuna, km 16, Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil. E-mail: mksole@uesc.br

Donald W. Sparling Cooperative Wildlife Research Laboratory, Life Science II, MS6504, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901, USA. E-mail: dsparl@siu.edu

George W. Tanner Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA. E-mail: tannerg@ufl.edu

Valorie R. Titus Binghamton University, PO Box 6000, Binghamton, New York 13902, USA. E-mail: vtitus1@binghamton.edu

Jessica S. Veysey Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA. E-mail: jss4@unh.edu

James R. Vonesh Department of Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, 1000 West Cary Street, Richmond, VA 23284, USA. E-mail: jrvonesh@vcu.edu

Susan C. Walls Florida Integrated Science Center, US Geological Survey, 7920 NW 71st Street, Gainesville, FL 32653, USA. E-mail: swalls@usgs.gov

Matt R. Whiles Department of Zoology and Center for Ecology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 62901-6501, USA. E-mail: mwhiles@zoology.siu.edu

John D. Willson Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, P.O. Drawer E, Aiken, South Carolina 29802, USA. E-mail: willson@uga.edu